Botany in basic education textbooks: conceptual issues regarding simplifications and complexifications in Brazil and Portugal
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Abstract

Botany is one of the oldest knowledge fields in natural sciences. As with other curricular syllabi, its contents constantly change because of recent advancement in research, paradigm breach, new theories and so forth. Textbooks are commonly regarded as reference sources for both teachers and students. Despite efforts to include good reference materials in terms of contents, contextualization and updated information, textbooks are commonly devised having political, ideological, economic and socio-geographic backgrounds with which information may be handled. Our paper aimed to investigate how botanical contents have been presented in school textbooks targeted to basic education learners in Brazil and Portugal in the last decade, having two main questions in mind: a) Have they been presented with non-contextualized, simplified concepts only?; and, b) Have they been presented as excessively complex, with information akin to high school or under-graduation courses?. We surveyed basic school textbooks normally adopted in Brazil, and basic school textbooks researched in Portugal in the last decade. In general, we have seen waves of different approaches of botanical contents in school textbooks, depending on the period analyzed, economic and socio-political trends, and educational directions from both countries’ boards of education and equivalent. Although many common characteristics are found in all textbooks, there are significant simplifications in some textbooks, with reflexes found even in pictures, photographs and contextualization, and complexification in some, which tend to be dense, vocabulary-tough materials that might indicate high-level education, perhaps inappropriate to basic school students. Changes in the type of botany commonly found in textbooks, which invariably focuses on morphological and taxonomic aspects of plants, should take place so that students may understand vegetal features and evolutionary kinships as well as their place and importance in ecosystems, daily life, economy and environmental balance, just to mention a few of so many roles they actually play.
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INTRODUCTION

Botany is one of the oldest knowledge fields in natural sciences, despite its official, curricular labelling that occurred only some centuries ago (Santos, 2006; Chervel, 1988; Cronquist, 1981). In fact, botanical information extracted from nature gave field to the initial investigations of the human being about the surrounding environment, as plants were part of his routine life in terms of clothing, food, transportation, shelter, medicine use, incense burning and so forth (Thomas, 2010). Until now, Botany and Zoology are seen as the ‘core blocks’ of all biological studies (Krasilchik and Marandino, 2004).

As with other curricular syllabi, its contents constantly change because of recent advancement in research, paradigm breach, new theories and so forth, as pointed out by
Fracalanza and Megid-Neto (2003); moreover, such changes are part of the constant advancements that break old ideas and leave path to new ones (Kuhn, 1970).

According to some researchers, such as Lajolo (1996) and Molina (1987), not all of the changes are promptly absorbed in school textbooks, being such didactic transposition sometimes slow and inefficient. Certain aspects of the academic knowledge produced via specific research are intentionally neglected in textbooks, such as more profound information regarding biotechnological and/or molecular investigations originated in recent years (Moraes and Santos, 2013).

Textbooks are commonly regarded as reference sources for both teachers and students. Nevertheless, they play a momentous role during schooling, and may also be seen as the only source used by school agents (Santos, 2009).

Despite efforts to include good reference materials in terms of contents, contextualization and updated information, textbooks are commonly devised having political, ideological, economic and socio-geographic backgrounds with which information may be handled (Proença, 2000; Sano, 2004). Such materials (Figure 1) are influenced by diverse aspects, being the teacher and students the core agents to make use of the knowledge accumulated and generated at academic institutions (Lacasa, 1994). The information handled in textbooks is, then, inevitably compared with the one observed in the surrounding life, represented in the figure as the society dimension and all the experiences an individual may have in his social life.

There is no ‘ideal’ textbook, as scientific knowledge reveals only the information collected at a certain period of time by researchers of its own field. Thus, usual problems detected in textbooks are conceptual-linked, i.e. simplifications and complexifications (Wortmann, 2003).

Simplification occurs when a core concept (for instance, photosynthesis) is broken down to minimum components, such as reducing the complex process to a single chemical-mathematical formula, widely known as: \( \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \). Obviously, photosynthesis is far more complex than the acquisition of an atmospheric gas (in this case,
carbon dioxide) and the absorption of water through the root system, with the generation of another gas (oxygen, in this case) and a carbohydrate production by the plant with the aid of a molecular catalyst, i.e. chlorophyll. Complex metabolic reactions involving various agents occur in the presence of light and also in the darkness, being one of the most intriguing steps the Calvin Cycle (Martin and Hine, 2014).

Complexification, on the other hand, implies a growing and continuous augmentation of concepts, values, and inter-connections with other skills and knowledge, thus allowing learners to think more abstractly and at a higher level of knowledge acquisition and build-up (Guimarães and Santos, 2009).

Our paper aimed to investigate how botanical contents have been presented in school textbooks targeted to basic education learners in Brazil and Portugal in the last decade, having two main questions in mind: a) Have botanical contents been presented with non-contextualized, simplified concepts only?; and, b) Have botanical contents been presented as excessively complex, with information akin to high school or under-graduation courses?

METHODS

We surveyed basic school textbooks normally adopted in Brazil, specifically the ones recommended by the Brazilian Board of Education (FNDE, 2016) and used in Brazilian public schools for a three-year period, and basic school textbooks researched in Portugal in the last decade. The Portuguese analysis was carried out according to Guimarães (2007; 2008; 2010), Guimarães and Lima (2010), and Guimarães, Lima and Magalhães (2007).

Textbooks were analyzed in terms of botanical contents following various authors, mainly Lüdke and André (1986), Bardin (2009) and Krippendorf (1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In general, we have seen waves of different approaches of botanical contents in school textbooks, depending on the period analyzed, economic and socio-political trends, and educational directions from both countries’ boards of education and equivalent. Although many common characteristics (morphology, anatomy, taxonomy, physiology, for instance, just to name a few areas) are found in all textbooks, there are significant simplifications in some textbooks, with reflexes found even in pictures, photographs and contextualization, and complexification in some, which tend to be dense, vocabulary-tough materials that might indicate high-level education, perhaps inappropriate to basic school students.

FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

School textbooks are important objects of study and should be more investigated so that more detailed information regarding its use, implementation, intrinsic conceptual issues and, mainly, botanical contents have been presented and used by educators and learners.
Still, changes in the type of botany commonly found in textbooks, which invariably focuses on morphological and taxonomic aspects of plants, should take place so that students may understand vegetal features and evolutionary kinships as well as their place and importance in ecosystems, daily life, economy and environmental balance, just to mention a few of so many roles they actually play.
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